UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,318	03/18/2004	Donna Sue Davis	2003B101A	5374
	7590 08/04/201 L CHEMICAL COMP	EXAMINER		
5200 BAYWA	Y DRIVE	PATTERSON, MARC A		
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	plication No. Applicant(s)				
		10/803,3	8	DAVIS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		MARC A.	PATTERSON	1782			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the property	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evo tion. period will apply and wi y statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 April 2010.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for a	_		secution as to the	e merits is		
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 23,24 and 26-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wind Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23,24 and 26-52 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	ithdrawn from co	nsideration.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318

Art Unit: 1782

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 23, 29 - 30, 44 - 46 and 51 - 52 as being unpatentable over Ezaki et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,520,972) in view of Lue et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,476,171), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 23, 29 30, 44 46 and 51 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692).

With regard to Claims 23 and 51 - 52, Garza et al disclose a film comprising at least three layers (column 10, lines 12 - 17) comprising a core layer comprising 60 - 90% wt.% LDPE and 40 - 10 wt.% HDPE (column 4, lines 20 – 24) and an intermediate layer, comprising LDPE and LLDPE (column 10, lines 55 - 59) that is mLLDPE (Ziegler catalysts; column 3, lines 50 – 55). Garza et al fail to disclose 15% LDPE by weight and 85% by weight LLDPE.

Branbilla teaches a film having 15% LDPE by weight and 85% by weight LLDPE for the purpose of obtaining a film having good stretchability (column 2, lines 10 – 15). It therefore

would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for 15% LDPE by weight and 85% by weight LLDPE in order to provide a film having good stretchability as taught by Branbilla.

With regard to Claims 29 - 30 and 44 - 46, when formed into a coextruded ABA structure having a thickness of less than 50 microns, the film therefore has a 1% secant modulus MD of at least 500 mPa and 1% secant modulus TD of at least 600 mPa and a difference in Gloss 20 and 60 of 2% or less.

4. Claims 24, 26 - 28, 31 - 43 and 47 - 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garza et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,707,751) in view of Branbilla (U.S. Patent No. 5,916,692) and further in view of Lind et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0003624).

Garza et al and Branbilla disclose a polyethylene film comprising skin layers as discussed above. With regard to Claim 24, Garza et al and Branbilla fail to disclose a skin layer having a blend of LLDPE and an ethylene - alpha olefin copolymer and having a density of 0.940 g/cm³.

Lind et al teach a film having an ethylene - alpha olefin copolymer having a density of 0.940 g/cm³ (paragraph 0020) for the purpose of obtaining a film for the wrapping of a group of items (paragraph 0004). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the film of Garza et al and Branbilla, which comprises a film, depending on the desired use of the end product. It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for an ethylene - alpha olefin copolymer having a density of 0.940 g/cm³ in Garza et al and Branbilla in order to obtain a film for the wrapping of a group of items as taught by Lind et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318 Page 4

Art Unit: 1782

With regard to Claims 26, 31 - 33, 37 - 39, 43 and 47, the metallocene polyethylene disclosed by Garza et al is linear low density polyethylene, as stated above.

With regard to Claims 27 and 40 - 41, Lind et al disclose HDPE having a density of 0.960 to 0.965 g/cm³ (paragraph 0020).

With regard to Claims 28, 38 and 42, Lind et al disclose HDPE having a density of 0.925 to 0.935 g/cm (paragraph 0020).

With regard to Claims 34 and 48, the film disclosed by Lind et al is coextruded (paragraph 0056) and heat - shrinkable (paragraph 0064).

With regard to Claims 35 and 49 - 50, Lind et al disclose a group of items wrapped by the film (pieces of meat; paragraph 0004); Lind et al therefore disclose a collation shrink wrapped structure.

With regard to Claim 36, Lind discloses the interchangeable use of the film as a packaging film or as a packaging bag (paragraph 0003) and the film disclosed by Lind is heat shrinkable (paragraph 0002); Lind therefore discloses a process of making a packaged structure comprising the wrapping of a package comprising the bag with the film and heating the wrapped package to shrink the film and applying a holding force.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections of the previous Action have been carefully considered and have been found to be persuasive. The rejections have therefore been withdrawn. The new rejections above are directed to amended Claims 23 - 24 and 26 - 52.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318

Art Unit: 1782

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,318 Page 6

Art Unit: 1782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782